١

	Alternative 1: Cap	Alternative 2: Limited Removal and Cap	Alternative 3: Dredge Inner Berth and Cap Outside of Inner Berth
da ili. Perinden	Description o	f Actions	
In-Water Actions			
Dredging	Near outfalls as needed	Near outfalls and in area with hightest PCBs	Near outfalls and throughout inne
Capping	Throughout cleanup area	Throughout cleanup area	Only outside of inner berth
Shoreline Actions	Combination of excavate and cap	Combination of excavate and cap	Combination of excavate and cap
Material Quantities			
Dredge, transport, landfill (cy)	4,000	8,000	28,000
Import for cap (cy)	27,000	27,000	20,000
	Evaluation Cons	l siderations	
Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment	Meets project objectives	Meets project objectives	Meets project objectives
Promotes Protection/Restoration of Ecosystems	High	Highest	Low
Promotes Cultural Uses (Tribal Fishing)	High	Highest	Low
Need for Dredged Material Management	Low	Moderate	High
Promotes Navigation/Economic Vitality	Low	Low	High
Cost	\$3.5-4.5M. Cost for encumbrance on land use unknown.	\$4.5-5.5M. Cost for encumbrance on land use unknown.	\$7.5-8.5M. Low or no costs for encumbrance on land use
Long-term Monitoring	Typical cap monitoring	Typical cap monitoring	Typical cap monitoring
Long-term Maintenance Needs	Low	Low	Potentially higher for berth area

$\overline{}$
S
₩
4
8
60

	Alternative 1: Cap	Alternative 2: Limited Removal and Cap	Alternative 3: Dredge Inner Berth and Cap Outside of Inner Berth
	Description o	f Actions	
In-Water Actions			
Dredging	Near outfalls as needed	Near outfalls and in area with hightest PCBs	Near outfalls and throughout inner berth
Capping	Throughout cleanup area	Throughout cleanup area	Only outside of inner berth
Shoreline Actions	Combination of excavate and cap	Combination of excavate and cap	Combination of excavate and cap
Material Quantities			
Dredge, transport, landfill (cy)	4,000	8,000	28,000
mport for cap (cy)	27,000	27,000	20,000
	Evaluation Cons	 siderations	
Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment	Meets project objectives	Meets project objectives	Meets project objectives
Promotes Protection/Restoration of Ecosystems	High	Highest	Low
Promotes Cultural Uses (Tribal Fishing)	High	Highest	Low
Need for Dredged Material Management	Low	Moderate	High
Promotes Navigation/Economic Vitality	Low	Low	High
Cost	\$3.5-4.5M. Cost for encumbrance on land use unknown.	\$4.5-5.5M. Cost for encumbrance on land use unknown.	\$7.5-8.5M. Low or no costs for encumbrance on land use
Long-term Monitoring	Typical cap monitoring	Typical cap monitoring	Typical cap monitoring
Long-term Maintenance Needs	Low	Low	Potentially higher for berth area

\sim	
šlip	
4 53	
661	

为1000年1月600 111日本11日本11日本11日本11日本11日本11日本11日本11日本11	Alternative 1: Cap	Alternative 2: Limited Removal and Cap	Rorth
	Description o	f Actions	
In-Water Actions		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Dredging	Near outfalls as needed	Near outfalls and in area with hightest PCBs	Near outfalls and throughout inne
Capping	Throughout cleanup area	Throughout cleanup area	Only outside of inner berth
Shoreline Actions	Combination of excavate and cap	Combination of excavate and cap	Combination of excavate and cap
Material Quantities			
Dredge, transport, landfill (cy)	4,000	8,000	28,000
Import for cap (cy)	27,000	27,000	20,000
	Evaluation Cons	iderations	
Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment	Meets project objectives	Meets project objectives	Meets project objectives
Promotes Protection/Restoration of Ecosystems	High	Highest	Low
Promotes Cultural Uses (Tribal Fishing)	High	Highest	Low
Need for Dredged Material Management	Low	Moderate	High
Promotes Navigation/Economic Vitality	Low	Low	High
Cost	\$3.5-4.5M. Cost for encumbrance on land use unknown.	\$4.5-5.5M. Cost for encumbrance on land use unknown.	\$7.5-8.5M. Low or no costs for encumbrance on land use
Long-term Monitoring	Typical cap monitoring	Typical cap monitoring	Typical cap monitoring
Long-term Maintenance Needs	Low	Low	Potentially higher for berth area